Case 1:10-mj-01026-MJW Document 1 Filed 02/09/10 USDC Colorado Page 1 of 2

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF COLORADO FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Criminal Action No. 10-MJ-01036 MJW

2010 FEB -9 PM 2: 27

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

GREGORY C. LANGHAM

DEP. CLK

Plaintiff,

V.

1. JASON KAY,

Defendant.

## **INFORMATION** Title 21, United States Code, Section 331(k)

The United States Attorney charges:

## **COUNT ONE**

21 U.S.C. § 331(k) and 18 U.S.C. § 2 (Adulteration and Removal of the Labeling of a Food While Held for Sale)

In or about January 2010, in the State and District of Colorado, defendant

## 1. JASON KAY,

did remove and adulterate the labeling of a food, to wit: the label on a bottle of Gatorade, while such article was held for sale after shipment in interstate commerce and which resulted in such article being misbranded.

All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 331(k).

DAVID M. GAOUETTE United States Attorney

By: Jaime A. Peña Assistant U.S. Attorney U.S. Attorney's Office 1225 17th Street, Suite 700

25Carl

Denver, CO 80202

Telephone: 303-454-0100 Fax: 303-455-0409 Jaime.Pena2@usdoj.gov

<u>DEFENDANT</u> : JASON KAY
<u>YOB</u> :
ADDRESS:
COMPLAINT FILED? X YESNO
IF YES, PROVIDE MAGISTRATE CASE NUMBER: <u>10- MJ-01002-KMT</u> IF NO, PROCEED TO "OFFENSE" SECTION
HAS DEFENDANT BEEN ARRESTED ON COMPLAINT? X YES NO
IF NO, A NEW WARRANT IS REQUIRED
OFFENSE: COUNT ONE: Title 21, United States Code, Section 331(k)-Adulteration and Removal of the Labeling of a Food while Held for Sale.
LOCATION OF OFFENSE (COUNTY/STATE): State and District of Colorado
PENALTY: COUNT ONE: NMT 1 year imprisonment, NMT \$1,000 fine, or both; \$10 Special assessment fee
AGENT: Dan Burke, Special Agent U.S. Food and Drug Administration Office of Criminal Investigations
AUTHORIZED BY: Jaime Peña Assistant U.S. Attorney
ESTIMATED TIME OF TRIAL:
X five days or less over five days other
THE GOVERNMENT
will seek detention in this case will <b>not</b> seek detention in this case
The statutory presumption of detention is not applicable to this defendant.
OCDETF CASE: Yes X No